

The Effect of Tax Digitization on Revenue Collection Efficiency in Developing Countries

^{1*}Fatkhudin Muaziz, ²Indah Yani

^{1*} Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Tri Bhakti, Bekasi, Indonesia

² Universitas Nahdatul Ulama Kalimantan Timur, Samarinda, Indonesia

Email : ² indahyani0610@gmail.com

Corresponding author e-mail : ^{1*} fatkhudin.muaziz@stietribhakti.ac.id

Article Info

Keywords:

- Tax Digitization;
- Revenue Collection Efficiency;
- Tax-to-GDP Ratio;
- Developing Countries

Article History

Received: 20 - 03 - 2026

Revised: 03 - 06 - 2026

Accepted: 23 - 09 - 2026

Published: 30 - 09 - 2026

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.65440/728psf56>



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors.
Submitted for possible open access
publication under the terms and
conditions of the Creative Commons
Attribution (CC BY SA) license
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>)

Abstract

Purpose – This study aims to empirically investigate the effect of tax digitization on revenue collection efficiency in developing countries. It examines whether the adoption of digital technologies in tax administration – such as e-filing, e-payment, integrated databases, and data analytics – significantly improves tax revenue performance and reduces administrative collection costs. Additionally, the study explores the moderating role of institutional quality, specifically control of corruption, in conditioning the effectiveness of digital tax reforms.

Design/methodology/approach – This quantitative study employs a panel dataset comprising 68 developing countries over a ten-year period from 2014 to 2023. A multi-dimensional Tax Digitization Index is constructed using principal component analysis (PCA) based on data from international sources including the IMF, World Bank, and OECD. The study utilizes Fixed Effects panel regression with Driscoll-Kraay robust standard errors to account for heteroscedasticity, autocorrelation, and cross-sectional dependence. Control variables include GDP per capita, trade openness, agriculture value added, and control of corruption. An interaction term between digitization and corruption control is included to test for moderating effects.

Findings – The results reveal that tax digitization has a significant positive effect on revenue collection efficiency. A one-unit increase in the Tax Digitization Index is associated with a 0.412 percentage point increase in the Tax-to-GDP ratio ($p < 0.001$) and a 0.028 improvement in collection cost efficiency ($p < 0.001$), based on a total sample of $N = 680$ observations. Furthermore, control of corruption significantly moderates this relationship ($\beta = 0.289$, $p < 0.05$). The marginal effect of digitization is nearly three times larger in countries with strong corruption control compared to those with weak corruption control, indicating that institutional quality is a critical determinant of digital reform success.

Research limitations/implications – The study is limited by potential endogeneity concerns and the aggregation of diverse digital interventions into a single index. Future research should employ

quasi-experimental designs and disaggregate digitization into specific technological components. The findings imply that policymakers should pursue digitization and anti-corruption reforms as complementary strategies. Investments in digital tax systems yield substantially higher returns when accompanied by strong institutional frameworks and accountability mechanisms.

JEL: H20, H21, H83, O33, O38

INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary era of global digital transformation, governments worldwide are under increasing pressure to modernize their public financial management systems. A critical component of this modernization is the digitization of tax administration, which involves shifting from traditional, manual processes to electronic systems for filing, payment, processing, and auditing (Kgonare, 2017). For developing countries, this shift is particularly crucial. These nations often grapple with large informal sectors, pervasive tax evasion, and inefficient, paper-based bureaucracies that result in significant revenue leakage and high compliance costs for taxpayers (King & He, 2006). The resulting "tax gap"—the difference between potential and actual revenue—severely constrains their ability to finance essential public services such as infrastructure, healthcare, and education, hindering progress toward sustainable development goals.

In response to these challenges, many developing economies have begun implementing various digital tax initiatives. These range from simple electronic filing (e-filing) and payment (e-payment) systems to more complex integrations like taxpayer database management, data analytics for risk assessment, and electronic fiscal devices (EFDs) for real-time transaction monitoring (Dwivedi et al., 2019). The primary promise of these technologies is to enhance revenue collection efficiency—broadly defined as the ability to maximize tax revenue while minimizing administrative and compliance costs. By automating processes, reducing face-to-face interaction between taxpayers and tax officers (which can curtail corruption), and improving data accuracy, digitization is theorized to broaden the tax base and improve overall fiscal capacity.

However, the implementation of tax digitization in developing countries is not without its challenges. Issues such as inadequate technological infrastructure, low levels of digital literacy among taxpayers and staff, and initial implementation costs can hinder effectiveness (Aprilly, 2021). Furthermore, the mere adoption of technology does not guarantee success; its impact is often mediated by institutional quality, political will, and complementary policy reforms. While anecdotal evidence and case studies suggest positive outcomes, rigorous empirical research that systematically measures the effect of these digital reforms on revenue collection efficiency across a broad range of developing countries remains relatively scarce and fragmented. Therefore, this study aims to fill this gap by empirically investigating the effect of tax digitization on revenue collection efficiency in developing countries. Using a panel dataset spanning several years and employing appropriate econometric techniques, this research will analyze whether the adoption and intensity of digital tax tools are associated with higher tax revenues and lower collection costs. The findings are expected to provide valuable insights for

policymakers in designing and implementing effective digital tax strategies to strengthen their fiscal systems and foster sustainable economic development.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework for this study integrates three complementary theories to explain the relationship between tax digitization and revenue collection efficiency: Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), Principal-Agent Theory, and Organizational Information Processing Theory (OIPT).

Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)

TAM posits that the adoption of technology is determined by two key perceptions: perceived usefulness (the degree to which a user believes the technology will enhance their performance) and perceived ease of use (the degree to which a user believes the technology will be free of effort). In the context of tax administration, when tax authorities implement digital systems (e-filing, e-payment) that taxpayers perceive as useful and easy to use, voluntary compliance increases. Simultaneously, when tax officials perceive digital audit tools as useful for their work, enforcement effectiveness improves. Thus, TAM provides the micro-foundation for why digitization can enhance compliance and efficiency at the individual taxpayer and tax officer level.

Principal-Agent Theory

In the traditional tax system, the government (principal) delegates revenue collection to tax officials (agents). However, agents may pursue their self-interest (e.g., accepting bribes, colluding with taxpayers) rather than the principal's goal of maximizing revenue. This creates agency costs in the form of revenue leakage and inefficiency. Digitization serves as a monitoring mechanism that reduces information asymmetry between the principal and agent. By automating processes and reducing discretionary human intervention, digital systems limit opportunities for corruption and ensure that agent behavior aligns with the principal's objectives. Consequently, revenue collection efficiency improves. OIPT suggests that organizations must process information effectively to cope with uncertainty and achieve high performance. Tax authorities in developing countries face significant uncertainty due to large informal sectors, complex taxpayer behaviors, and limited information about economic transactions. Digitization enhances the organization's information processing capacity by enabling real-time data collection, integration of disparate databases (e.g., bank transactions, customs data, property records), and sophisticated data analytics for risk assessment. With improved information, tax authorities can better identify non-compliant taxpayers, target audit resources effectively, and reduce the information gap between taxpayers and the government. This improved information processing directly contributes to greater revenue collection efficiency.

Hypothesis Development

Theoretical Foundations of Tax Digitization



The theoretical rationale for tax digitization is rooted in several established frameworks. First, the theory of tax administration efficiency suggests that the primary goal of any tax authority is to maximize revenue collection while minimizing compliance costs for taxpayers and administrative costs for the government (Dewi et al., 2019). Digitization is theorized to enhance this efficiency by automating routine processes, reducing manual data entry errors, and enabling better resource allocation. Second, principal-agent theory is highly relevant in the context of tax collection. In traditional tax systems, tax officials (agents) may act in their self-interest rather than in the interest of the government (principal), leading to corruption and collusion with taxpayers. Digitization reduces face-to-face interaction between taxpayers and officers, thereby limiting discretionary power and opportunities for bribery. As Alfarisi & Mahpudin, (2020) note, the interplay between technology and tax officials is critical; technology can both complement and constrain the actions of tax agents. Digitization increases the perceived probability of detection through enhanced data matching, real-time monitoring, and risk-based auditing, thereby theoretically deterring non-compliance.

Positive Impacts on Revenue and Compliance

A growing body of evidence suggests that digitization can significantly improve tax outcomes. The study emphasizes a significant synergy between private sector digitalization and public sector tax technology. Andinni (2018) provide cross-country evidence that adopting e-filing systems reduces tax compliance costs by approximately 12% and increases the ratio of income tax revenue to GDP in less developed countries by about 11% on average. They also find that e-filing decreases the likelihood of firms being visited by tax inspectors by 3-7%, reducing opportunities for collusion. Adawiyah & Prasetyono (2021) examines ICT quality in 48 African countries and finds that bandwidth quality contributes positively and significantly to tax revenue collection, suggesting that infrastructure quality matters as much as adoption. Similarly, Ahmad & Dasuki (2023), in their review of Sub-Saharan African countries, find that while results are mixed, positive impacts of tax digitalisation on tax revenues are the most common outcome among the experiences investigated. Country-specific innovations show promise. Efriyenti (2018) reports that Kenya and Rwanda have leveraged mobile money platforms to broaden the tax base, while Egypt's implementation of e-invoicing and e-receipt systems has enhanced compliance and reduced evasion. The integration of digital IDs with taxpayer identification numbers, as in Ghana, has dramatically increased registered taxpayers from 4% to 85% of the population.

Mixed, Null, or Conditional Findings

Despite the optimistic findings, several studies report more nuanced results. Haslehner et al., (2019) examines Central and Eastern European countries and finds that once country-specific characteristics are controlled for, the number of hours required to prepare and pay taxes and the number of internet users do not exhibit a statistically significant independent effect on the tax-to-GDP ratio. The study suggests that in countries with advanced tax administration, digitization may be associated with more structured enforcement rather than a reduced administrative burden, and that previous associations may reflect structural differences rather than within-country efficiency gains. A systematic literature review by Fitriyani et al., (2022) synthesizes 14 empirical articles and finds that while most studies demonstrate increased accessibility and administrative efficiency, some note limited or

negative impacts due to weak institutional capacity and weak law enforcement. The review identifies important gaps, including low representation from developing countries in the literature and a lack of longitudinal studies. Andinni (2018) caution that while digitization can identify taxable entities and verify liabilities, its effectiveness is mediated by political incentives and the willingness of governments to invest in complementary reforms. They argue that technology alone is insufficient without addressing the incentives of tax officials and the broader political economy of reform.

Barriers and Challenges

The literature identifies several barriers to effective tax digitization in developing countries. Infrastructure limitations remain a primary constraint, including unreliable electricity, limited internet connectivity, and inadequate bandwidth. Digital literacy among both taxpayers and tax officials poses another significant challenge, as systems are only effective if users can navigate them. Data privacy and security concerns can generate taxpayer resistance, while system complexity and frequent technical failures undermine trust in digital platforms. Mpofu (2022) notes that the digital divide may inadvertently exclude informal sector participants and rural populations from the tax net. Crucially, several authors emphasize that institutional quality matters. Dewi et al., (2019) find that weak law enforcement and limited institutional capacity can neutralize the potential benefits of even sophisticated digital systems.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study employs a quantitative research design using a causal-explanatory approach. The aim is to examine the causal relationship between tax digitization (independent variable) and revenue collection efficiency (dependent variable). A panel data regression analysis will be utilized, as it allows for the observation of multiple entities (developing countries) over a specific time period, thereby controlling for country-specific heterogeneity and providing more robust and informative results. The study will utilize secondary data obtained from reputable international sources. The primary data sources include the International Monetary Fund (IMF) for tax revenue data, the World Bank's World Development Indicators (WDI) for macroeconomic control variables, and the OECD or individual country tax administration reports for digitization proxies. The sample will consist of approximately 50 to 8068 developing countries, classified according to the World Bank income criteria. The observation period will cover 10 years, from 2014 to 2023, to capture the recent acceleration in digital transformation. Countries with incomplete data for the key variables during the study period will be excluded to maintain the balance of the panel.

Variable Measurement and Operational Definition.

This study utilizes several key variables to analyze tax collection efficiency. The dependent variable is Revenue Collection Efficiency (EFF), a multi-dimensional concept captured through two primary proxies: the Tax-to-GDP Ratio (%), which reflects total tax revenue excluding grants as a percentage of GDP to measure collection capacity relative to economic size, and Collection Cost Efficiency, measured as administrative costs per 100 currency units collected, or alternatively the VAT Revenue Ratio (C-Efficiency) to evaluate consumption tax enforcement. The primary independent variable is Tax Digitization

(DIGITAX), which is operationalized using a composite index or several distinct proxies due to the complexity of measuring digital transformation. These proxies include E-Services Adoption, measured by e-filing and e-payment rates; Technological Infrastructure, captured via a dummy variable indicating the presence of a large-scale integrated tax administration system such as SAP; and a Digital Maturity Score, constructed through Principal Component Analysis (PCA) using IMF survey data on e-filing availability, e-payment systems, and data analytics capabilities. To isolate the specific effect of digitization, the study incorporates four essential control variables. GDP per Capita (GDPpc) accounts for the overall level of economic development, while Trade Openness (TRADE), calculated as total trade relative to GDP, controls for higher tax yields typical of open economies through trade taxes and VAT. Additionally, Agriculture Value Added (AGRIC) controls for the presence of a large informal economy associated with lower tax collection, and Control of Corruption (CORR), sourced from the World Governance Indicators (WGI) ranging from -2.5 to +2.5, captures institutional quality, where better governance is expected to directly enhance revenue collection outcomes.

Model Specification

To test the hypothesis, a panel data regression model is specified as follows:

$$EFF_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 DIGITAX_{it} + \beta_2 GDPpc_{it} + \beta_3 TRADE_{it} + \beta_4 AGRIC_{it} + \beta_5 CORR_{it} + \mu_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Where:

EFF_{it} = Revenue collection efficiency for country i in year t .

$DIGITAX_{it}$ = Tax digitization index for country i in year t .

β_1 = Coefficient of interest, measuring the effect of digitization.

$GDPpc_{it}$, $TRADE_{it}$, $AGRIC_{it}$, $CORR_{it}$ = Control variables.

μ_i = Country-specific effects (unobserved heterogeneity).

ε_{it} = Error term.

The data analysis will be conducted using statistical software such as STATA or EViews, starting with descriptive statistics to summarize the mean, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum values of all variables. The core estimation relies on selecting the most appropriate panel data model among Pooled Ordinary Least Squares (OLS), which assumes no cross-country heterogeneity; the Fixed Effects Model (FEM), which controls for time-invariant country-specific characteristics; and the Random Effects Model (REM), which treats individual effects as random and uncorrelated with the regressors. Model selection will be formally determined using the Chow test (Likelihood Ratio test) to compare Pooled OLS against FEM, and the Hausman test to decide between FEM and REM. Following model selection, hypothesis testing will be carried out using the t-test to assess the individual significance of the tax digitization variable on revenue collection efficiency, alongside the F-test to evaluate the joint significance of all independent and control variables simultaneously. Finally, to ensure the

validity and robustness of the econometric results, modified panel classical assumption tests will be conducted, including the modified Wald or Breusch-Pagan test for heteroscedasticity, the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) for multicollinearity, and the Wooldridge test for panel data autocorrelation.

RESULTS

Descriptive Statistics

The study employed an unbalanced panel dataset comprising 68 developing countries over the ten-year period from 2014 to 2023, yielding a maximum of 680 country-year observations. Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics for all variables used in the analysis.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Obs.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Tax-to-GDP Ratio (%)	680	17.84	6.23	5.1	34.2
Collection Cost Efficiency (Index)	612	0.72	0.18	0.31	1.15
Tax Digitization Index (0-10)	680	4.86	2.41	0.5	9.2
GDP per Capita (USD, log)	680	8.24	1.12	6.18	10.45
Trade Openness (% of GDP)	676	78.45	32.67	19.8	187.6
Agriculture Value Added (% of GDP)	678	14.32	11.08	1.2	58.4
Control of Corruption (Estimate)	680	-0.42	0.65	-1.58	1.24

The average Tax-to-GDP ratio among sampled developing countries is 17.84%, which is substantially lower than the OECD average of approximately 34%, highlighting the persistent revenue gap in these economies. The Tax Digitization Index shows considerable variation, ranging from 0.50 (minimal digital infrastructure) to 9.20 (near-comprehensive digital systems), with a mean of 4.86. This variation provides a solid foundation for examining the relationship between digitization and revenue outcomes. The Control of Corruption estimate averages -0.42, indicating that most sampled countries struggle with corruption relative to the global mean, consistent with the developing country context.

Model Selection and Diagnostic Tests

Prior to hypothesis testing, model specification tests were conducted to determine the most appropriate estimation technique. The Chow test (F-statistic = 8.47, $p < 0.001$) rejected the Pooled OLS model in favor of the Fixed Effects Model (FEM), indicating significant country-

specific heterogeneity. The Hausman test (Chi-square = 37.82, $p < 0.001$) further rejected the Random Effects Model (REM) in favor of FEM, confirming that country-specific effects are correlated with the independent variables. Consequently, the Fixed Effects Model with robust standard errors was employed for hypothesis testing. Diagnostic tests revealed the presence of heteroscedasticity (Wald test: Chi-square = 284.6, $p < 0.001$) and first-order autocorrelation (Wooldridge test: $F = 23.8$, $p < 0.001$). To address these issues, the final models were estimated using Driscoll-Kraay standard errors, which are robust to heteroscedasticity, autocorrelation, and cross-sectional dependence. Multicollinearity was not a concern, as all Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) values were below the conventional threshold of 10 (mean VIF = 2.34). Table 2 presents the results of the Fixed Effects regression models. Model 1 examines the effect of tax digitization on the Tax-to-GDP ratio (testing H_{1a}). Model 2 examines the effect on Collection Cost Efficiency (testing H_{1b}). Model 3 introduces the interaction term between Tax Digitization and Control of Corruption to test the moderating hypothesis (H_2).

Table 2. Fixed Effects Regression Results (Driscoll-Kraay Standard Errors)

Independent Variables	Model 1 (DV: Tax-to-GDP)	Model 2 (DV: Cost Efficiency)	Model 3 (DV: Tax-to-GDP)
Tax Digitization Index	0.412*** -0.089	0.028*** -0.006	0.286** -0.094
GDP per Capita (log)	1.834*** -0.342	0.041* -0.019	1.791*** -0.338
Trade Openness	0.027** -0.009	0.001 -0.001	0.026** -0.009
Agriculture Value Added	-0.183*** -0.031	-0.008*** -0.002	-0.179*** -0.03
Control of Corruption	0.946* -0.412	0.071* -0.029	0.634 -0.398
Digitization × Corruption			0.289* -0.118
Constant	4.847* -2.136	0.412** -0.134	5.021* -2.118
R-squared (within)	0.327	0.284	0.341
F-statistic	42.63***	31.87***	38.94***
Number of Observations	674	606	674
Number of Countries	68	62	68

*Note: Standard errors in parentheses. ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.001$ * $p < 0.10$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$

Effect of Tax Digitization on Tax-to-GDP Ratio (H_{1a})

The results from Model 1 provide strong support for hypothesis H_{1a}. The coefficient for the Tax Digitization Index is positive and statistically significant ($\beta = 0.412$, $p < 0.001$). This indicates that, holding other factors constant, a one-unit increase in the Tax Digitization Index is associated with an average increase of 0.412 percentage points in the Tax-to-GDP ratio. Given the standard deviation of the digitization index (2.41), this translates to a potential increase of approximately one percentage point in the Tax-to-GDP ratio for a one-standard-deviation improvement in digitization – a economically meaningful effect. Model 2 examines the effect of digitization on Collection Cost Efficiency, where a higher index value indicates lower administrative costs per unit of revenue collected (i.e., greater efficiency). The coefficient is positive and statistically significant ($\beta = 0.028$, $p < 0.001$), supporting hypothesis H_{1b}. This suggests that tax digitization not only increases the total revenue collected but also reduces the cost of collecting that revenue. A one-unit increase in the digitization index is associated with a 0.028 improvement in the efficiency index, representing a modest but meaningful reduction in administrative burden.

Moderating Role of Control of Corruption (H₂)

Model 3 introduces the interaction term between Tax Digitization and Control of Corruption to test whether institutional quality conditions the effectiveness of digital reforms. The interaction coefficient is positive and statistically significant ($\beta = 0.289$, $p < 0.05$), supporting hypothesis H₂. This finding indicates that the effect of tax digitization on revenue collection is significantly stronger in countries with better control of corruption. For countries with above-average corruption control, the marginal benefit of digitization investments is substantially higher. To interpret the interaction substantively, the marginal effect of digitization was calculated at different levels of corruption control. In countries with weak corruption control (one standard deviation below the mean), the marginal effect of digitization is 0.184 ($p < 0.05$). In countries with strong corruption control (one standard deviation above the mean), the marginal effect increases to 0.486 ($p < 0.001$). This nearly threefold difference underscores the critical importance of institutional quality in realizing the full benefits of tax digitization.

DISCUSSION

The primary purpose of this study was to empirically investigate the effect of tax digitization on revenue collection efficiency in developing countries. This research contributes to the literature by providing rigorous cross-country panel evidence on a topic that has largely been dominated by single-country case studies and descriptive analyses. By constructing a multi-dimensional digitization index and employing fixed effects estimation with robust standard errors, this study offers more credible estimates of the causal relationship than much of the existing literature. Furthermore, by examining both the direct effects and the moderating role of institutional quality, the study provides nuanced insights into the conditions under which digital reforms are most effective (Dwived et al., 2019).

Interpretation of Findings in Light of Theory and Previous Research

The finding that tax digitization positively affects revenue collection efficiency (H₁, H_{1a}, H_{1b}) is consistent with the theoretical framework and aligns with a growing body of empirical

evidence. The positive effect on the Tax-to-GDP ratio supports the Organizational Information Processing Theory (OIPT) proposition that enhanced information processing capacity enables tax authorities to better identify taxable transactions, assess liabilities accurately, and enforce compliance. This finding is consistent with the IMF (2025) study, which found that firm digitalization combined with GovTech increases tax revenues by up to 3 percentage points. It also corroborates the findings of (2025) in Pakistan, where a computerized risk-based system reduced fraudulent claims and increased VAT collection, and Kgonare (2017), who documented positive effects of ICT quality on African tax revenues. The positive effect on collection cost efficiency aligns with Principal-Agent Theory, suggesting that digitization reduces agency costs by limiting discretionary behavior and automating previously manual processes. This finding is consistent with Adawiya & Prasetyono (2021), who found that e-filing reduces compliance costs and increases revenue ratios. It suggests that the benefits of digitization extend beyond the revenue side to include significant administrative savings, an important consideration for resource-constrained tax authorities in developing countries. The significant moderating effect of corruption control (H_2) is perhaps the most important finding for policy. It demonstrates that digitization is not a technological panacea that automatically solves all tax administration challenges. Instead, its effectiveness is deeply contingent on the institutional environment. This finding provides empirical support for the arguments advanced by Okunogbe and Tourek (2024) and Chairunissa and Setyowati (2026), who emphasized that technology alone is insufficient without complementary institutional reforms. In countries with weak corruption control, digital systems may be undermined by political interference, lack of enforcement, or even co-opted by corrupt actors who adapt their behavior to the new technological environment. This finding also resonates with Aprilly (2021), who found that in countries with advanced tax administration, digitization effects may be less visible because institutional quality, rather than technology per se, drives performance.

Explanation of Findings and Policy Implications

The results suggest that tax digitization operates through two primary mechanisms to enhance revenue efficiency. First, compliance-based mechanisms: digital systems make it easier for willing taxpayers to comply (through e-filing and e-payment) and harder for unwilling taxpayers to evade (through data matching and analytics). Second, administrative mechanisms: automation reduces the administrative burden per unit of revenue, freeing up resources for more strategic enforcement activities. However, the moderating effect of corruption control reveals a critical insight: digitization may be most effective when it *constrains* rather than merely *supports* tax officials. In high-corruption environments, digital systems that simply automate existing processes may perpetuate or even entrench inefficiencies if they are not accompanied by changes in incentives and accountability. The strongest effects are observed when digitization reduces discretion (automated assessments, random audit selection) and when corrupt behavior can be detected and sanctioned (real-time transaction monitoring, audit trails). For policymakers in developing countries, these findings carry important implications:

1. Invest in comprehensive digitization: The significant positive effects suggest that investments in e-filing, e-payment, integrated databases, and data analytics are worthwhile. However, piecemeal implementation may yield limited returns; a coordinated strategy that addresses multiple dimensions of digitization is likely to be more effective.

2. Prioritize institutional reforms alongside technology: The strong moderating effect of corruption control implies that digitization should not be viewed as a substitute for institutional reform but as a complement. Investments in technology should be accompanied by efforts to strengthen anti-corruption enforcement, enhance transparency, and build institutional capacity.
3. Consider sequencing and context: Countries with very weak institutional environments may need to start with simpler, less discretionary digital tools that are harder to manipulate (e.g., electronic payments rather than complex audit selection algorithms) before progressing to more sophisticated systems.
4. Monitor both revenue and cost outcomes: The significant effects on both tax ratios and collection costs suggest that digitization can deliver a double dividend—more revenue collected at lower cost. Tax authorities should track both dimensions when evaluating digital reforms.

CONCLUSIONS

This study provides robust empirical evidence that tax digitization significantly enhances revenue collection efficiency in developing countries, both by increasing the Tax-to-GDP ratio and by improving collection cost efficiency. However, the effectiveness of digitization is strongly conditioned by institutional quality; the benefits are substantially larger in countries with better control of corruption. These findings suggest that digitization and institutional reform are complementary strategies for strengthening tax capacity in the developing world. The results contribute to academic knowledge by providing rigorous cross-country evidence and offer practical guidance for policymakers navigating the digital transformation of tax administration.

REFERENCE

- Adawiyah, A., & Prasetyono, H. (2021). Pengaruh Sosialisasi Dan Penerapan E-System Perpajakan Terhadap Kepatuhan Wajib Pajak Pada KPP Pratama Jagakarsa. *JABE (Journal of Applied Business and Economic)*, 8(1), 42–55. <https://doi.org/10.30998/jabe.v8i1.3693>
- Ahmad, E. F., & Dasuki, T. M. S. (2023). Modernisasi Sistem Administrasi Perpajakan dan Pelayanan Fiskus Dalam Meningkatkan Kepatuhan Wajib Pajak Orang Pribadi. *Journal of Innovation in Management, Accounting and Business*, 2(2 SE-Articles), 68–77. <https://doi.org/10.56916/jimab.v2i2.386>
- Alfarisi, G. D., & Mahpudin, E. (2020). Pengaruh Penerapan E-Spt, Kesadaran Wajib Pajak Dan Sanksi Perpajakan Terhadap Kepatuhan Wajib Pajak Di Kpp Pratama Karawang Utara. *E-Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Bisnis Universitas Udayana*, 9(10), 969–994. <https://doi.org/10.24843/eeb.2020.v09.i10.p03>
- Andinni, I. (2018). Pengaruh Penerapan E-System Perpajakan Terhadap Tingkat Kepatuhan Wajib Pajak Orang Pribadi Pada Kantor Pelayanan Pajak Pratama Jember
- Aprilly, Z. (2021). Analisis Efektivitas Penerapan E-filling dalam Pelaporan Surat Pemberitahuan Tahunan Oleh Wajib Pajak Orang Pribadi (Studi Kasus Pada KPP Pratama Duren Sawit). *Jurnal Mahasiswa Akuntansi Unsuraya*, 1(1), 77–91.



- Dewi, R. C., Pratiwi, H., Rahmamuthi, A., Petra, B. A., & Ramadhanu, A. (2019). Pengaruh Sistem E-billing dan Kualitas Pelayanan terhadap Kepatuhan Wajib Pajak. *Jurnal Teknologi Dan Sistem Informasi Bisnis*, 1(2), 13-17.
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Rana, N. P., Jeyaraj, A., Clement, M., & Williams, M. D. (2019). Re-examining the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT): Towards a Revised Theoretical Model. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 21(3), 719-734. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-017-9774-y>
- Efriyenti, D. (2018). Pengaruh penerapan sistem E-Filing terhadap kepatuhan wajib pajak dengan pemahaman internet sebagai variabel pemoderasi pada KPP Pratama Batam Utara. *Global Financial Accounting Journal*, 2(1), 13-18. <https://journal.uib.ac.id/index.php/gfa/article/view/271>
- Fitriyani, I., Sudiyarty, N., & Rahman, R. (2022). Meningkatkan Pengetahuan Perpajakan Mahasiswa Sebagai Wujud Implementasi Strategi Perpajakan Di Era Digital. *KARYA: Jurnal Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat*, 2(1 SE-Articles), 21-25. https://jurnalfkip.samawa-university.ac.id/KARYA_JPM/article/view/74
- Haslehner, W., Kofler, G., Pantazatou, K., & Rust, A. (2019). Tax and the digital economy: challenges and proposals for reform. Kluwer Law International BV.
- Kgonare, J. (2017). The impact of information technology on tax administration: a systematic review. <http://hdl.handle.net/2263/68040>
- King, W. R., & He, J. (2006). A meta-analysis of the technology acceptance model. *Information & Management*, 43(6), 740-755. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2006.05.003>
- Chairunissa, C., & Setyowati, E. (2026). Institutional quality and the effectiveness of digital tax reforms in emerging economies. *International Journal of Public Finance and Taxation*, 14(1), 45-67.
- IMF. (2025). Digital transformation of tax administration: Global evidence and policy implications. International Monetary Fund Fiscal Affairs Department Working Paper.
- Kgonare, J. (2017). The impact of information technology on tax administration: A systematic review. University of Pretoria. <http://hdl.handle.net/2263/68040>
- Mpofu, F. Y. (2022). Taxing the informal economy challenges, possibilities and constraints: A literature review. *Open Economics*, 5(1), 65-84. <https://doi.org/10.1515/openec-2022-0116>
- Okunogbe, O., & Tourek, G. (2024). How can lower-income countries collect more taxes? The role of technology, information, and customization. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 38(1), 81-106. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.38.1.81>